FILE COPY

Office-Supreme Court, U.S. FILE'D

NOV 30 1964

JOHN & DAVIS, CLERK

No. 491

## In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1964

CORLISS LAMONT, DOING BUSINESS AS BASIC PAMPHLETS, APPELLANT

THE POSTMASTER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR

SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM FOR THE RESPONDENT

ARCHIBALD COX,
Solicitor General,
Department of Justice,
Washington, D.C., 20530.

## In the Supreme Court-of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1964

No. 491

CORLISS LAMONT, DOING BUSINESS AS
BASIC PAMPHLETS, APPELLANT

THE POSTMASTER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

## SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM FOR THE RESPONDENT

On November 17, 1964, a three-judge district court in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Southern Division, in a case substantially the same as the present case, held (1) that a suit challenging the constitutionality of 39 U.S.C. 4008 was not rendered moot by the postal authorities' releasing the mail that had been detained pursuant thereto; and (2) that the statute is unconstitutional. Heilberg v. Fixa, No. 41660. We have been advised that the appellant intends to file with the Court a copy of the opinion in that case.

In view of the direct conflict between that decision and the present case, the government withdraws its motion to affirm and agrees with appellant that probable jurisdiction should be noted.

Respectfully, submitted.

ARCHIBALD COX, Solicitor General.

NOVEMBER 1964.